

Why a new language

Peter van Rijn

History

- Assembly
 - Bootstrap switches
- Procedural
 - C, pascal
 - Language structures
 - Choosing, looping
- Object oriented
 - Smalltalk, Simula
 - C++, Java, C#

Where are we now?

- Administrative problems
 - To and from the database
 - That can't be difficult?
 - Meta programming
 - Ruby on rails
- General languages
 - If a hammer is the tool
 - Than every problem will became a nail
 - Domain Specific Languages

Where are we now?

- The multi core machines are coming
 - Parallel programming
 - Locking not a good solution
 - Java's synchronized and transactions are locking
 - The internet is parallel
- Frameworks are design pattern
 - Design pattern solve language issues
 - Solution that add a lot complexity
 - Java EE a whole lot of frameworks

OO

- Libraries
- Interface versus implementation
 - Plug-ins
- Administration is not OO
 - Dataflow
 - workflow
 - State machine

Java

- 12 years old
 - Solutions to problems 12 years ago
 - C++ too complex
 - Platform dependency
 - Vendors libraries
- Choices made for simplicity
 - C++ --
 - Java 1.1 smalltalk concepts, reflection

Java

- Java 1.0 the start
- Java 1.1 smalltalk concepts, reflection
- Java 1.2 swing
- Java 1.3 xml, j2ee
- Java 1.4 optimization
- Java 1.5 generics, enum, annotations (c++)
- Java 1.6 annotations + webservises
- Java 1.7 ??

Java strength

- JVM
 - Write once, run anywhere
 - It really works
 - Runtime optimization
- Libraries
 - For everything
 - Huge open source community
- Servlets
 - Fantastic technology

Java weakness

- Primitive types
 - Not classes
- Switch
- Not extensible /scalable
 - Only via new keywords
 - assert, enum, generics
- No inner functions and closures
- function pointers/literals
 - Only via interface

Solutions

- Dynamic languages
 - Groovy, Ruby
 - Annotations
 - generators
- Functional languages
 - Scala, haskell
 - Most functional languages do not allow side effects and imply immulability => Threadsafe

New language features

- On JVM
 - Using java libraries
 - Comparable with java
-
- Groovy, jruby
 - scala

Dynamic typed

- Duck typing
 - No compile time type checking
 - No code completion
 - Tries it a runtime
- Generator
 - Meta programming
 - Easy to build a code generator

Static typed

- You have to type every variable
- Compile time checking
 - Type casting
- Code completion
- Scala is statically typed
 - But you don't have to write the type
 - The compiler finds out by itself (infers)
 - Feels like dynamic typing

scala

- Numbers are classes
- Operators are functions
- Leave out extra information
 - Wildcard parameter
- Inner functions
- Anonymous functions
- Closures
- Languages structures are functions

scala

- Case classes
- Pattern matching
- Traits
 - With on declaration
- Collections
 - Tuple
 - List, array, map
 - Option: Some, None
- For queries